SAMPLE · FICTIONAL EXAMPLE

BLITZ LEARNING · BLITZPRISM™ EVIDENCE CATALOG

Review and quality-check record

Shows that AI output, content or scenarios were checked by a person, and what was corrected, rejected or changed.

This is a made-up example to show the format. Example Co (fictional) is not a real organization, and every name, date and figure here is invented. Nothing in it is a benchmark or a real result.

WHAT IS BEING CHECKED
AI-drafted quiz questions and AI assistant answers

REVIEWER (ROLE)
Senior instructional designer

PERIOD
May 2026

Date	Item checked	Check against	Result	What was wrong	Action taken	Closed (date)
06 May 2026	Quiz: product range module, 10 AI-drafted questions	Product specification sheet	Corrected	Two questions used last year's prices	Questions rewritten from the current sheet	06 May 2026
14 May 2026	AI assistant answer: returns policy	Returns policy v3	Rejected	Answer quoted a withdrawn policy	Old policy removed from the assistant's sources; content owner told	16 May 2026
21 May 2026	Scenario: angry customer call	Customer service team lead	Accepted	None	None	21 May 2026

What this evidence shows in the BlitzPrism™ diagnostic

- **4. AI rules and human oversight, Level 3 (Evidence-based):** Review records showing AI output corrected or rejected before use.
- **4. AI rules and human oversight, Level 4 (Integrated):** A compliance check of the AI policy in the last 12 months, with actions taken.
- **5. Content ready for AI, Level 3 (Evidence-based):** A test of AI answers against priority content, with errors fixed.
- **10. Practice-based experiences, Level 4 (Integrated):** A scenario library reviewed for realism by job experts.
- **11. AI-assisted production, Level 3 (Evidence-based):** AI-drafted content used in live courses after human review.